So changing our workplaces and building infrastructures of care would make a_ b_ c_ d_ e_ f_ g_, but we're not going to get equally valued choices unless we change our culture, and the kind of cultural change required means re-socializing men. Increasingly in d_ e_ f_ g_ countries, women are socialized to believe that our place is no longer only in the home, but men are actually still where they always were. Men are still socialized to believe that they have to be breadwinners, that to derive their self-worth from how high they can climb over other men o_ p_ q_ r_, The feminist revolution still has a long way to go. It's certainly not complete. But 60 years after "The Feminine Mystique" was published, many women actually have more choices than men do. We can decide to be a breadwinner, a caregiver, or any combination of the two. When a man, on the other hand, decides to be a caregiver, he puts his manhood on the line. His friends may praise his decision, but underneath, they're scratching their heads. Isn't the measure of a man his willingness to compete with other men for power and prestige? And as many women hold that view as men do. We know that lots of women still judge the attractiveness of a man based in large part on how s_ t_ u_ v_ he is in his career. A woman can d_ o_ o_ the work force and still be an a_ b_ c_ partner. For a man, that's a r_ s_ t_ proposition. So as parents and partners, we should be socializing our sons and our husbands to be whatever they want to be, either caregivers or breadwinners. We should be socializing them to make care giving cool for guys.